

From: [Michelle Vincze](#)
To: [Zine, Natalie](#); [McKenna, Catherine](#)
Subject: EX: opposition of petition 4693
Date: Tuesday, September 29, 2026 10:24:31 AM

Hello Natalie and McKenna, As a Kane County resident, I am reaching out to express my opposition to Petition 4693.

Dear Members of the Kane County Zoning Board of Appeals,

I am writing to respectfully oppose Petition #4693, requesting a Special Use Permit for a commercial solar energy facility near our small residential neighborhood.

If approved, this would be the second commercial solar facility placed next to our neighborhood. My concern is not simply about solar energy itself, but about the cumulative impact of repeatedly placing a SECOND large-scale commercial energy facilities immediately adjacent to established residential homes.

When we purchased our homes, we invested not only in the structures themselves, but in the surrounding residential character, open space, views, and quality of the neighborhood. Adding another 26-acre commercial solar facility changes that environment and creates legitimate concerns about the long-term desirability and marketability of our homes.

Property values deserve particular consideration. Kane County's special-use standards require consideration of whether a proposed use will substantially diminish property values or interfere with the use and enjoyment of surrounding properties. The applicant has provided a property-value study, but I believe the Board should also consider the real-world cumulative effect of having multiple solar facilities surrounding a small established residential neighborhood, rather than evaluating this project in isolation.

I am also concerned about long-term oversight and accountability. The applicant/developer is TNT Howard LLC, represented by Timothy Meyer, but the project could ultimately be sold to another owner or operator. In fact, the project materials contemplate the possibility of a future sale. This raises an important question for neighboring homeowners: Who will be responsible for maintaining the facility, landscaping and screening, drainage infrastructure, fire-safety requirements, and ultimately decommissioning it if ownership changes? A future purchaser may have different priorities than the current applicant. While the County file includes a proposed decommissioning plan and draft agreement, I believe the Board should ensure that these obligations are legally binding and remain enforceable against any future owner or operator, not just the current applicant.

I respectfully ask the Zoning Board of Appeals to deny Petition #4693 and carefully consider the cumulative impact on the surrounding homeowners, including property values, drainage, safety, visual character, and long-term accountability. Please make a vote to protect the farmland of Kane County.

I support responsible renewable-energy development, but I do not believe every location is appropriate for a commercial solar facility—particularly when the burden is repeatedly placed directly next to established residential neighborhoods.

Thank you for considering the concerns of the homeowners who will live with the consequences of this decision for decades.

Michelle Vincze White

12n597 Jackson Drive
Elgin, Il 60124

[Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer](#)